

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF HOLT COUNTY, NEBRASKA

EDGAR A. UHL,
ROGER SLADEK, and,
MARK JOHNSON,
Plaintiffs,

vs.

RONALD R. HUBER,
Defendant.

Cases Nos. CI99-67,
CI99-68, and
CI99-69

**JOURNAL ENTRY
ON JURY TRIAL**

DATE OF TRIAL: April 26-27, 2000.

APPEARANCES:

For plaintiffs: Gary R. Pearson with plaintiffs Uhl and Johnson and without plaintiff Sladek.

For defendant: Forrest F. Peetz without defendant.

PROCEEDINGS:

The juror orientation video was displayed. After introductory comments by the court, the jury panel was duly sworn for examination. The names of 18 prospective jurors were duly drawn by the clerk and voir dire examination conducted by the court. During the court's examination, two prospective jurors were excused for cause and replacements duly drawn by the clerk and examined by the court. Voir dire examination was then conducted by counsel for plaintiffs. During the plaintiffs' examination, upon plaintiffs' motion, three prospective jurors was excused for cause and replacements duly drawn by the clerk and examined by the court and counsel for plaintiffs. The plaintiffs passed the panel for cause. Voir dire examination was then conducted by counsel for defendant. The defendant thereafter passed the panel for cause. Peremptory challenges to the panel of 18 prospective jurors were exercised by counsel for plaintiffs and counsel for defendant. The trial jury of 12 persons were duly sworn and admonished, and the balance of the jury panel excused from the courtroom. Preliminary instructions were given by the court to the jury. The jury was admonished and excused for lunch. In the absence of the jury, the defendant admitted that the defendant was negligent and the defendant's negligence was the sole proximate cause of the collision. Counsel informally agreed to sequestration of witnesses. Counsel stipulated that the exhibits to a deposition taken for trial purposes may be removed from the deposition and separately marked. The matter was recessed for lunch.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF HOLT COUNTY, NEBRASKA

EDGAR A. UHL,
ROGER SLADEK, and,
MARK JOHNSON,
Plaintiffs,

vs.

RONALD R. HUBER,
Defendant.

Cases Nos. CI99-67,
CI99-68, and
CI99-69

**JOURNAL ENTRY
ON JURY TRIAL**

DATE OF TRIAL: April 26-27, 2000.

APPEARANCES:

For plaintiffs: Gary R. Pearson with plaintiffs Uhl and Johnson and without plaintiff Sladek.

For defendant: Forrest F. Peetz without defendant.

PROCEEDINGS:

The juror orientation video was displayed. After introductory comments by the court, the jury panel was duly sworn for examination. The names of 18 prospective jurors were duly drawn by the clerk and voir dire examination conducted by the court. During the court's examination, two prospective jurors were excused for cause and replacements duly drawn by the clerk and examined by the court. Voir dire examination was then conducted by counsel for plaintiffs. During the plaintiffs' examination, upon plaintiffs' motion, three prospective jurors was excused for cause and replacements duly drawn by the clerk and examined by the court and counsel for plaintiffs. The plaintiffs passed the panel for cause. Voir dire examination was then conducted by counsel for defendant. The defendant thereafter passed the panel for cause. Peremptory challenges to the panel of 18 prospective jurors were exercised by counsel for plaintiffs and counsel for defendant. The trial jury of 12 persons were duly sworn and admonished, and the balance of the jury panel excused from the courtroom. Preliminary instructions were given by the court to the jury. The jury was admonished and excused for lunch. In the absence of the jury, the defendant admitted that the defendant was negligent and the defendant's negligence was the sole proximate cause of the collision. Counsel informally agreed to sequestration of witnesses. Counsel stipulated that the exhibits to a deposition taken for trial purposes may be removed from the deposition and separately marked. The matter was recessed for lunch.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF HOLT COUNTY, NEBRASKA

EDGAR A. UHL,
ROGER SLADEK, and,
MARK JOHNSON,
Plaintiffs,

vs.

RONALD R. HUBER,
Defendant.

Cases Nos. CI99-67,
CI99-68, and
CI99-69

**JOURNAL ENTRY
ON JURY TRIAL**

DATE OF TRIAL: April 26-27, 2000.

APPEARANCES:

For plaintiffs: Gary R. Pearson with plaintiffs Uhl and Johnson and without plaintiff Sladek.

For defendant: Forrest F. Peetz without defendant.

PROCEEDINGS:

The juror orientation video was displayed. After introductory comments by the court, the jury panel was duly sworn for examination. The names of 18 prospective jurors were duly drawn by the clerk and voir dire examination conducted by the court. During the court's examination, two prospective jurors were excused for cause and replacements duly drawn by the clerk and examined by the court. Voir dire examination was then conducted by counsel for plaintiffs. During the plaintiffs' examination, upon plaintiffs' motion, three prospective jurors was excused for cause and replacements duly drawn by the clerk and examined by the court and counsel for plaintiffs. The plaintiffs passed the panel for cause. Voir dire examination was then conducted by counsel for defendant. The defendant thereafter passed the panel for cause. Peremptory challenges to the panel of 18 prospective jurors were exercised by counsel for plaintiffs and counsel for defendant. The trial jury of 12 persons were duly sworn and admonished, and the balance of the jury panel excused from the courtroom. Preliminary instructions were given by the court to the jury. The jury was admonished and excused for lunch. In the absence of the jury, the defendant admitted that the defendant was negligent and the defendant's negligence was the sole proximate cause of the collision. Counsel informally agreed to sequestration of witnesses. Counsel stipulated that the exhibits to a deposition taken for trial purposes may be removed from the deposition and separately marked. The matter was recessed for lunch.